

Open Access MRI & Headache Assessment: Vomit Syndrome or is it?

15th March 2012 Migraine Trust/BASH GPwSI Meeting

Dr Brendan Davies North Midlands Regional Headache Clinic University Hospital of North Staffordshire

Talk Outline

- Why would I want to order Brian MRI in someone with Headache?
 - Epidemiology of headache presentation
 - Secondary Headache not to miss ?
 - Headache Phenotypes to image?
 - Red flags for important headache disorders?
 - Pitfalls with Imaging in Headache VOMIT I
 - Population prevalence of common findings !
 - Who to refer? a personal view?
 - "Anti-emetic" imaging What do you expect the scan to show? What scan ? Does it matter?

"The patient with headache often finds them self the medical orphan.

They are fortunate indeed if their headache is transient, for otherwise they may find themself on an excursion to the opthalmologist, ENT department, neurologist, dentist, psychiatrist, chiropractor and the latest health spa.

They may be x-rayed, fitted with glasses, analysed, massaged, relived of their turbinates and teeth and often emerge despite this with their headache intact"

Adapted from Packard 1979

Will GP Open Access MRI help them?

Headache: A Common Problem

One of the commonest symptoms that clinicians evaluate

General Practice & Primary Care 4.44 consultations/100 registered patients

Headache Referrals to secondary care

Neurology OPD clinic referral rate by GPs in UK 2 in every 100 headache sufferers seen by GP

 $\approx 20\%$ of referral population to Neurology OPD

Self-presentation & GP referral to A&E in UK 1-2% of all Acute presentations

Headache assessment in **Non-specialist Primary Care**

Migraine

- When primary care physicians diagnose migraine, they are correct 98% of the time
- However, when they diagnose non-migraine headache, they are wrong 82% of the time Source: LANDMARK study (Headache 2004;44:856-864)

Cluster Headache

- Median time to diagnosis in Cluster Headache
 - in 1960s was 22 years; now 2.6 years
 - average of 3 GPs seen before diagnosis

Important Headaches not to miss!



The Scope of the problem Population headache demographics



The Primary Headaches

- Migraine
- Tension-Type headache
- Cluster headache
- Trigeminal Autonomic Cephalagias – Paroxysmal hemicrania
- SUNCT

Other

- Primary Stabbing headache
- Primary Cough headache
- Primary Exertional headache
- Primary Thunderclap headache
- Primary Sexual headache
- Hypnic headache
- New Daily Persistent Headache
- Hemicrania Continua



The Primary Headaches Who to scan? Controversies?

Migraine
 Tension-Type headache
 Cluster headache
 SCAN
 Trigeminal Autonomic Cephalagias
 Paroxysmal hemicrania
 SUNCT
 Other
 Primary Stabbing headache
 Primary Cough headache
 Primary Exertional headache
 Primary Exertional headache
 Primary Sexual headache
 Hypnic headache
 New Daily Persistent Headache
 Hemicrania Continua

Classification of secondary beaches Secondary Secondary Automation Secondary Secondar



Indications for Brain imaging investigation for Headache as recommended by guidelines (Adapted from Kernick 2011)						
Presentation	SIGN	EFNS ⁶	NICEZ	BASH ³ (for general practice)	BASH [®] (for suspected brain tumour)	US Headache Consortium ²
New onset over the age of 50 years	1	1	-	1	4	1
Focal neurology	1	1	-	1	-	1
Non-focal neurology (e.g. cognitive impairment)	1	-	1	1	-	-
Change in headache characteristics—progressive (including atypical aura)	J	5	1	4	4	s
Change with posture	1	-	1	-	1	-
Headache that wakes up	1	-	-	1	-	1
Headache on awakening	-	-	-	-	-	-
Precipitated by physical exertion	1	-	-	-	-	-
Exacerbated by physical exertion	-	-	-	4	-	-
Precipitated by Valsalva	1	-	-	-	-	-
Exacerbated by Valsalva	-	-	-	1	-	1
Risk factors for cerebral venous thrombosis	1	-	-	-	-	-
New headache with human immunodeficiency virus	1	1	-	-	4	-
New headache with cancer elsewhere	1	-	1	1	4	-
Pulse synchronous tinnitus	—	-	-	-	-	-
Headache with nausea/vomiting	-	-	-	1	1	1

Clinical patterns of headache

- Thunderclap Headache (TCH)
- "Acute" Headache PLUS*
- "Progressive (Worsening)" Headache PLUS*

PLUS* Equates to:

- persisting focal neurological signs
- +/or ↓ed GCS or altered mental state-behaviour
- Fever
- Seizures

Open Access CT & MRI & the GP in Headache assessment?

Pros:

- Earlier identification of Brain tumours?
- Less referral to Secondary care?
- Shorter waiting times?
- Better long term outcome for patients? Or is it?
- Happier Primary care more confidence that less likely to be "sinister cause"

Cons:

- What is the question being asked?
- Does normal plain CT/MRI reliably exclude potentially serious headache disorders?
- Longer term cost effectiveness of Open access CT/MRI in the management of Headache disorders?
- Does Open access CT/MRI have any disadvantages?

Recent studies on **Open Access Brain Imaging** For Headache disorders

Primary care access to computed tomography for chronic headache Ralph Thomas, Alan Cook, Gavin Main, Tom Taylor, Bittish Journal of General Practice, June 2010 Elizabeth Galizia Caruana and Robert Swingler

- 12 month Prospective Primary care CT open Access study
 - Patients –Normal exam & "unchanging & non-acute CDH" - No minimum duration of headache !
 - 18,702 Headache consultations in primary care over 12 months
 - 232 patients referred for Brain CT (age 20-80 years)
 - GP referral rate = 1.24% of patients seen with headache
 - 51 per 100,000 population per year
 - 1.4% abnormality rate
 - 3 "lesions" (1 meningioma, 1 AVM, 1 metastasis)
 - 10.2% Incidentaloma rate

Primary care access to computed tomography for chronic headache

Ralph Thomas, Alan Cook, Gavin Main, Tom Taylor, British Journal of General Practice, June 2010 Elizabeth Galizia Caruana and Robert Swingler

Referral Outcome:

- 14% (30 patients) were referred onto Neurology
 - 40% at time of or before CT
 60% after the CT had occurred !!

 - CT induced a referral in 5% of cases not made clear why?
 - Possibly prevented a referral in 86% of patients in 1.3 years follow-up - Considered "Cost effective"
- · No data on patient clinical outcomes or subsequent health utility costs?

Impact of GP direct-access computerised tomography for the investigation of chronic daily headache

- Glasgow GP direct access CT for "Chronic headache"
 - 1999-2007 8 year study period: Mean age 43.6 yrs (11.5-99.5)
 - 4404 unenhanced CT scans +/- contrast
 - Indications for request not clear !
 - 10.5% (461) had abnormal findings reported i.e. "1 in 10"
 - 1.6% (60) possibly clinically relevant to headache
 - No robust data on outcome of patients ! Did their headache get better ! 22 tumours (0.5%)
 - 1 Glioma; 3 brain metastases: 14 Meningiomas, 4 pituitary tumours
 12 Chiari 1 malformations (0.4%) none had surgery !

 - 12 Arachnoid Cysts (0.2%) 5 Anneuryms (0.1%) only 2 treated !; 1 AVM not treated
 - 9.1% Incidentaloma rate (401 scans)

Impact of GP direct-access computerised tomography for the investigation of chronic daily headache

47% of GPs preferred direct access CT as
1st line management for CDH rather than ge
an opinion (20-30% response rate)

- 6 of GPs did not understand t report.
- 86% did not seek further help
- Local Headache clinic had a Neuro-imaging referral rate of 29%

However:

- No data on patient outcome or Reassurance!
- No date on "Incidentaloma morbidity" No date on "Incidentaloma morbidity" No date on future referral to specialist care Only data cost savings and "Dr happiness" not patients !!

Table 2. Selected abnorm direct-access CT in patie chronic headache that we incidental to the presenti	alitie nts v ere li ng sy	s on vith kely to be mptoms.
Abnormality	n	Rate, %
Small vessel disease	98	2.2
Generalised atrophy	67	1.5
Established infarct	48	1
Lacunar infarct	19	0.4
Focal atrophy	16	0.3
Abnormal calcification	15	0.3
Tonsillar ectopia	12	0.2
Demyelination	10	0.2
Paranasal sinus thickening	9	0.18
Ventricular asymmetry	8	0.16
Cerebellar atrophy	8	0.16
Pineal cyst	4	0.08
Small ventricles	4	0.08
Frontal atrophy	3	0.06
Cavernous angioma	2	0.04
Small chronic subdural haematoma	2	0.04
Giant cisterna magna	2	0.04
Dandy Walker variant	1	0.02
Grey matter heterotopia	1	0.02

VOMIT and CT vs. MRI in Headache assessment Table 3. Comparison of selected abnormalities with the rates found in an asymptomatic population study. The asymptomatic population study. Simpson et al., 2010 Current study Morris et al^{r_1} CT in chronic daily headache MRI in asymptomatic population (n = 4.404), % (n = 19.559), %Abnormality Tumour 0.5 0.7 Chiari 1 0.24 0.4 0.2 Arachnoid Cyst 0.5 Aneurysm 0.1 0.35 Cavernoma 0.04 0.16 Colloid Cyst 0.04 0.04 AVM 0.02 0.05 AVM = Arteriovenous malformation

Higher rate of imaging abnormalities in Asymptomatic persons on MRI

l	04 DIRECT ACCESS TO BRAIN MR IMAGING FOR HEADACHE IN PRIMARY CARE; BETTER THAN AN ASPIRIN?				
	doi:10.1136/jmp.2010.235572.4				
	A Wills. Nottingham University Hospitals, Nottingham, UK				
Cost effectiveness of Open Access CT/MR in Primary care in an English district: The Nottingham experience !					
Audit of a PCT demand management programme for Headache referrals to secondary care Neurology department (2009-2010)					
Aims:	Predicted Health cost saving of £50,000				
Intervention	: Open Access MRI with GP referral guidelines				
Data:	169 scans in 12 months; 25% of requests for Migraine				
Incidentalom	a rate: 3% (Meningioma, Stoke, Annerysm) & UBOs				
Outcome:	20% still referred to neurology for an opinion within 6 months				
Costs:	£73,000 (scan costs) + subsequent £7,000 Referral costs Minor reduction in referral numbers only !				

Indications for Brain imaging investigation for Headache as recommended by quidelines (Adapted from Kernick 2011)							
Presentation	SIGN	EFNS	NICEZ	BASH [®] (for general practice)	BASH [®] (for suspected brain tumour)	US Headache Consortium ²	
New onset over the age of 50 years	1	J	-	1	4	4	
Focal neurology	1	1	-	1	-	1	
Non-focal neurology (e.g. cognitive impairment)	1	-	1	1	-	-	
Change in headache characteristics—progressive (including atypical aura)	J	J	s	1	4	1	
Change with posture	1	-	1	-	1	-	
Headache that wakes up	4	-	-	1	-	1	
Headache on awakening	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Precipitated by physical exertion	1	-	-	-	-	-	
Exacerbated by physical exertion	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Precipitated by Valsalva	1	-	-	-	-	-	
Exacerbated by Valsalva	-	-	-	1	-	1	
Risk factors for cerebral venous thrombosis	1	-	-	-	-	-	
New headache with human immunodeficiency virus	1	J.	-	-	4	-	
New headache with cancer elsewhere	1	-	1	1	4	-	
Pulse synchronous tinnitus	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Headache with nausea/vomiting	-	-	-	1	1	1	

What happens if you use Guidelines ?

J Headache Pain (2011) 12:625-628

Why do GPs with a special interest in headache investigate headache presentations with neuroradiology and what do they find?

Steven Elliot · David Kernick

- 14 GPwSIs 3 months 895 consecitive patients
- 30% (270) imaged: Range \rightarrow 12-60% of cases
 - 59% with MRI 41% with CT
- 47% investigated outside "guidance" framework BASH
- Commonest Reason for Imaging = Reassurance
- 41.7% (65)
- 5.6% "Positive findings on scan"
- 1.9% (n = 5) considered clinically significant
- 3.7% ("incidentaloma" rate 10 patients; 2 anneuryms !)

Indication for investigation wit BASH guidance for GPs	hin	Number of indications for investigation (%)	Number of positive findings for each indication (%)	Positive findings	
1. Papilledema		1 (0.3%)	1 (100%)	Idiopathic intracranial hypertension ?	
2. Significant alterations in me confusion or co-ordination	mory,	4 (1.2%)	0		
3. New epileptic seizures		2 (0.6%)	0		
4. New onset cluster headache		7 (2.1%)	0		
5. Headache with a history of	cancer elsewhere	11 (3.3%)	0		
 Headache with abnormal net relevant symptoms 	urological signs or	29 (8.8%)	0		
 Headache aggravated by exc Valsalva like manoeuvre 	ertion or	27 (8.2%)	6 (22.2%)	Idiopathic intracranial hypertension, subdural, chiari (x3), orbital abnormality	
8. Headache associated with vo	omiting	4 (1.2%)	1 (25.0%)	Sinus thickening	
9. Headaches that change signi	ficantly	32 (9.7%)	2 (6.3%)	Lesion temporal lobe aneurysm	
10. New headache in a patient	over 50 years	43 (13.1)	0		
11. Headache that wake from s	leep	11 (3.3%)	0		
12. Confusion		2 (0.6%)	0		
13. Other reason outside of gui	idance (See Table 3)	156 (47.4%)	6 (3.8%)	(See Table 3)	
Table 3 Reason for investigation outside of revision of BASH guida		stigation outside ice for GPs	Number investigated (%)	Positive findings	
findings	Reassurance		65 (41.7%)	0	
	Atypical headac	he	21 (13.5%)	0	
	Prolonged or co	mplex aura	14 (9.0%)	0	
		Headache on exertion		0	
	Headache on ex-	crtion	7 (4.3%)		
	Headache on ex Orgasmic heada	ertion che	1 (0.6%)	0	
	Headache on ex Orgasmic heada Unilateral tinnin	crtion che 15	1 (0.6%) 5 (3.2%)	0	
	Headache on ex Orgasmic heada Unilateral tinnin Cough/valsalva	ertion che 15 induced headache	1 (0.6%) 5 (3.2%) 6 (3.8%)	0 0	
	Headache on ex Orgasmic heada Unilateral tinnit Cough/valsalva Thunderclap hea	ertion che 15 induced headache idache	1 (0.6%) 5 (3.2%) 6 (3.8%) 4 (2.7%)	0 0 0	
	Headache on ex Orgasmic heada Unilateral tinnih Cough/valsalva Thunderclap hea New daily persi:	ertion che 15 induced headache idache stent headache	1 (0.6%) 5 (3.2%) 6 (3.8%) 4 (2.7%) 10 (6.4%)	0 0 0 0	

Open Access Brain imaging Headache studies						
Study Author	Headache type	Criteria for Imaging	Brain Imaging modality	Incidentaloma rate	Onward referral Rate	
Elliott & Kernick (2011)	All – unselected Sequential N = 270	BASH Guidelines	CT MRI	3.7%	?	
Thomas et al. (2010)	CDH > 3 months N = 232	"Unchanging non-acute CDH" & normal exam	СТ	10.2%	14%	
Simpson et al. (2010)	CDH > 3 months N= 4404	Not clear/stated	CT (+/- contrast?)	9.1%	14%	
Wills (2010)	Unselected -	No stated	CT & MRI	3%	20%	
Morris et al. (2009)	Asymptomatic	Meta-analysis of "Screening"	MRI	2.7%	N/A	

Incidentaloma Rates & Onward referral rates in

Are investigations anxiolytic or anxiogenic? A randomised controlled trial of neuroimaging to provide reassurance in chronic daily headache JNeurol Neurourg Pychiatry 2005;76:1558–1564. L Howard, S Wessely, M Leese, L Page, P McCrone, K Husain, J Tong, A Dowson

 150 patients with non-diagnosed Chronic daily headache Randomised to low resolution Brain MRI(Sag + double echo axial)

• 74 had *MRI*

- Analysed on *Health utility costs in subsequent year and* NOT on Headache impairment related outcomes !!
- Cost saving in scan group £465 i.e. not referred to a Neurologist
- Scan offers only short term patient reassurance if they have Anxiety (HAD>11)
 Anxiety less at 3 months, not at 1 year
- Having a scan did not improve Quality of life !! Or illness perception



VOMIT (victims of modern imaging technology) An acronym for our times

Richard Hayward, consultant neurosurgeon, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London BMJ (2003): 326: 1273: Personal view

- Case 1 A request arrives for an urgent neurosurgical consultation.
- The urgency is reinforced by several telephone calls. A 12 year old boy with headaches has had a head scan—nowadays more likely magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) than computed tomography—that shows an arachnoid cyst.
- The parents have been told that the clinical diagnosis of migraine (the scan was performed "just to be on the safe side") has been changed to something more sinister.
- The parents are terrified, their fears not at all eased by being referred to a brain surgeon.
- After all, everyone knows that when doctors talk about a "cyst" they really mean cancer.

NEUROIMAGING NON-ACUTE HEADACHE / NORMAL NEUROLOGICAL EXAMINATION



What do we find on MRI even when we are not looking for it?

- Nasal sinus disease
- UBOs ("MS")
- Cerebrovascular
- disease
- Arachnoid cyst
- Aneurysm
- Tumour
- CSF obstruction
- AVM

	Inci	den	tal findings	on brain	magnetic resonance imaging:
	CL/C	tom	atic rouiou	and mot	a analysis
	Sys	lem	aliciteview	and meta	BMJ 2009;339:b3016
	Number with abnormality	Number needed to scan	Prevalence (%) (95% CI)	Prevalence (%) (95% CI)	Zoe Morris, senior chrical lellow in neuroradiology, "William N Whiteley, CSD chrical academic tellow," W T Langstenth is professor of neurology and epidemiology, "Facik Weber, consultant neurologit," + Change Lew standing neurositism, " Vosition Technina accordent methrese of diseaserille radiologism,"
Neoplasia (n=19 559)					Hannah Alphs, medical student, ¹⁴ Susanne C Ladd, consultant radiologist, ¹ Charles Warlow, emertus
Meringiona	72	345	+	0.29 (0.13 to 0.51)	professor of medical neurology. Joanna M Wardiaw, professor of applied neuroimaging. ¹⁶
Pituitary adenoma	27	667		0.15 (0.09 to 0.22)	Robani Al-Shari Samari, NYI, Orican Soletta, arcindician coloniari necologisi
Low grade glioma	8	2000	•	0.05 (0.02 to 0.09)	
Acoustic neuroma	5	3333		0.03 (0.01 to 0.06)	 19,600 people; 16 studies
Lipoma	6	2500	•	0.04 (0.02 to 0.07)	
Epidermoid	3	3333		0.03 (0.01 to 0.06)	 Mean age 11-63 years old
Unspecified neoplasm	14	1111	•	0.09 (0.03 to 0.17)	
Any neoplastic incidental fin	ding 135	143		0.70 (0.47 to 0.98)	 Prevalence of any incidental brain
(n=19 559)					finding = 2.7%
Structural vascular abnormal	lities (n=15 55	(9)			No. Needed to scan = 37
Aneurysm	67	286		0.35 (0.13 to 0.67)	
Cavernous malformation	23	625	•	0.16 (0.10 to 0.23)	
Arteriovenous malformation	7	2000		0.05 (0.01 to 0.10)	Any Neoplastic finding = 0.7%
Inflammatory lesions (n=15)	559)				(059) (0) 0 (7 0 00)
Definite demyelination	9	1667		0.06 (0.02 to 0.15)	(95% CI 0.47-0.98)
Possible demyelination	4	3333	£	0.03 (0.00 to 0.07)	No. Needed to scan = 143
Cysts (n=15 559)					
Arachnoid cyst	99	200		0.50 (0.21 to 0.87)	
Colloid cyst	2	2500		0.04 (0.01 to 0.07)	 Any Non-Neoplastic finding = 2.0%
					(95% CI 1 1-3 1%)
Other abnormalities (n=15 5	59)				(00/001111011/0)
Chiari I malformation	71	417	+	0.24 (0.04 to 0.58)	No. Needed to scan = 50
Hydrocephalus	15	1000		0.10 (0.03 to 0.19)	LIP-IC CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR
Extra-axial collection	4	2500		0.04 (0.01 to 0.07)	 Higher resolution scan = ↑ prevalence
Any non-neoplastic incidents	al 375	50	-	2.00 (1.13 to 3.10)	
			0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0	3.5	

"Population Epidemiology" of Common findings on MRI

Pituitary Adenomas

- 10% of population; symptomatic in 74-90 per 100,000
 Chiari I malformations
- 0.1 % i.e. 1 in 1000 Tonsillar descent > 3-5 mm
 Cerebral Anneurysms
 - 2 -4% ; Rupture rate relates to size & site
- Arterio-venous malformations (AVMs)
 - Overall All 2-18 per 100,000
 - 1 per 100,000 Brain ICM; 0.5 per 100,000 CVMs; 0.43 per 100,00 for Venous malformations; 0.16 Dural AVMS
- Arachnoid Cysts
- 4% ; 80% Asymptomatic
- UBOs & Incidental WML or ischaemia?
 - 7%; Often asymptomatic; Increases with age

My Opinion?

Open access CT & MRI would appear useful but!!!

They do not often diagnose a persons headache the assessing physician does !!

The diagnosis is in the history – Most of the time ! Imaging should be focussed !!

Secondary Headache disorders when simple Brain CT/MRI can be normal !

Subarachnoid Haemorrhage

Meningoencephalitis

Cerebral Venous Sinus Thrombosis

Carotid & Vertebral Arterial dissection

Temporal Arteritis

Malignant Hypertension

Head Injury & CSF Hypovolaemia

Why do patients get referred for Brain imaging?

- 1. Diagnostic clarification & a suspected secondary headache disorder? & VOMIT syndrome......!!
- 2. Explanation & Anxiety management
- 3. Medicolegal concerns
- 4. Not sure what to do next?
- 5. Refractory syndromes before ONS implantation
- 6. "I just don't want to see headache patients !"
- 7. The SIGN or NICE Guidelines suggest I should
- 8. The patient & their relatives insisted I did ??

Brain Imaging and the clinical question? What imaging modality do you request?

 What question are you asking? Atypical primary headache? Suspected CVST ? Orthostatic Headache? Triggered Headache?

Slice thickness & Sequence?

"Excludogram?"

Which Brain imaging investigation? Examples of disorder specific requests for multi-modality imaging

• MRI	 Posterior Fossa Pathology Trigeminal Autonomic Cephalalgias (TACs) TN / SUNCT sequences Painful 3rd nerve palsy
+/- Contrast	 Intracranial Hypotension (CSF hypovolaemia) Cough Headache
MRV or CTV	- Immediate Post-partum Headache - Papilloedema & normal CT - New Daily Persistant Headache?
MRA or CTA	- Acute Arterial Dissection - Painful 3 rd nerve palsy (Periorbital pain) - Recurrent Thunderclap headache

When to Refer patients with Headache A personal view?

Same day Referral

- Acute TCH i.e. Onset <1-5mins
- Acute HA + focal signs +/- seizures
- Progressive HA + Fever + drowsiness
- Progressive HA & Papilloedema

• Urgent-or Semi-urgent Referral:

- Reliably Triggered Headache e.g. Valsalva, Cough
- Headaches with non emergency Red flag symptoms
- Cluster headache or TACs
- Treatment unresponsive Trigeminal Neuralgia
- Headache, Papilloedema & Normal CT,CTV or MRV
- Orthostatic New Daily headache

When to Refer Headache patients to Specialist (a Headache GPwSI) or Neurologist A personal view?

- Routine Referral: Categories of patient
 If you don't know what to do next!
 - If the diagnosis is unclear and there is headache related disability
 - Primary care treatment refractory headaches
 Ongoing disabiling headache symptoms despite at least 2 tolerated evidence based therapies at adequate dosing/duration
 - Unusual Migraine Aura Variants e.g.
 Motor aura / Hemiplegic migraine / Brainstern symptoms Basilar migraine
 - Suspected 'VOMIT syndrome"
 - Refractory Chronic Migraine, Chronic cluster headache etc.*
 - Refractory Analgesic MOH*

Conclusion

Take a better headache history and remember "Primum Non Nocere"

> Think about "SNOOP-TO"

And if there are no red flags Make someone's happy Sort out their headache rather than simply sort out their scan

